EXHIBIT B

	EXHIBIT B Civic Development and Private Development- Comparative Matrix										
Public Development		Ple	nary		Strada/ Scannell						
Topic Facility Design	New fire station on Housing site. Renovated	Benefits Keeps City offices downtown. Makes good use of compact site. Striking facility design will act as downtown gateway	Drawbacks Compact site requires public safety access at ground floor that will detract from street appearance along Clay street. BAFO submission provides updated plan that creates more visual interest to all sides of the buildings	Risks Although Program fits, it still needs work to establish adjacencies. Concerns that design does not fit character of Napa	Description Two, 2 story buildings one for Civic Administration and one for police and fire. Surface parking provided on site.	Benefits Efficient, high quality design. Buildings fit well on site and creates campus like setting. Program layout is logical and serves key adjacencies	Drawbacks Very little expansion space. Unsightly power lines bifurcate the site.	Risks Insufficient expansion space to support growth. They have not done any real design work on the fire station and so it is impossible to determine what it will cost in their financial proposal			
Site	Develop Civic and Police facilities on CSB site and Fire station on Housing site	in downtown. Provides	Requires swing space for CSB and potentially all of City if "option 2" is selected	Swing space has not been secured by the developer and uncertain as to how site logistics will be managed by the developer. Updated swing space plan and pricing provided with BAFO submission. Reduced swing space costs by approx. \$2.5 mil from original offer	Develop Civic and Police facilities on Soscol site and new Fire station on Housing site	swing space and assoc.	Uncertain that the Developer has control of the site. Potential for mitigation requirements that cannot be quantified in terms of cost or time	Developers lack of control of site means proposal may not be feasible. Unknown site conditions could result in issues that impact schedule and cost			
Phasing & swing space	stay in place until they can move into new facilities. Suggested an option 2 whereby the occupants of Superblock also swing out. Provided pricing for swing space to only include CSB. Did not provide costs for		associated with having the	so risk of securing it and		No costs or disruption of City Functions due to swing space requirements	Plans do not assume the initiation of development on the super block until new City facilities have been created. Creates a 2 - 3 year lag between initiation of payments for the City improvements and any offsetting revenues.				
Construction Staging	Plenary has suggested several staging possibilities, but has not secured any of the staging sites			Risk of not being able to secure adequate staging space	Strada/ Scannell would be able to stage materials on the development site.	Simpler logistics and less disruption to downtown		Although the Developer says they don't need to widen Soscol, the City does not concur			
Schedule	Current schedule assumes occupancy in new City facilities in late 2020	If possible, this would accelerate getting City into new space and reduce cost escalation risk	Current schedule appears to be unrealistic	Schedule delays would increase cost of swing space and subject City to potential cost escalations							
O&M			Their annual pricing is \$ above the pricing provided by Honeywell		Honeywell to provide long term O&M. T Annual fee's of \$7.98 PSF, with CPI cost escalation	extensive experience	The O&M provider has not been actively involved in early design and they just joined the team. No synergy between the operator and designers on life cycle decisions	experience with providing long term			
Financing	See Financial Analysis				See Financial Analysis						
Public Development Costs	Matrix See Financial Analysis Matrix				Matrix See Financial Analysis Matrix						

EXHIBIT B

Private Development	Plenary			Strada/ Scannell				
Topic	Description	Benefits	Drawbacks	Risks	Description	Benefits	Drawbacks	Risks
поріс	200 room (or optional 270) hotel on a portion of the	Once development was completed, it would create significant tax revenues to the City and would significantly activate downtown with	DIAWDICKS	Market risk makes development timeline uncertain under option #1 (This risk is significantly mitigated if City went with option 2).	200 room hotel on superblock along with 277 stall parking structure wrapped by multifamily apts. 132 apt development on the CSB site. BAFO - Mentioned they would be open to provide a portion of the residential	Once development was completed, it would create significant tax revenues to the City and would significantly activate downtown with tourists and new	Drawdecks No commitment to affordable housing	Market risk makes development timeline uncertain. Has not identified a partner for the hotel
	and Central.	"Stanford" has significant experience in hotel development (predominately for Hilton and Marriott)			units on the CSB parcel as affordable units if the City would offset the cost with a discount in land value.			
Design Components	All development on the	Activation of downtown			Development on the	significant activation of		
Site	superblock.	with Superblock development and new Civic Center			superblock and the CSB block	downtown with residents and tourists	established with a hotel developer	
Construction Staging								
Schedule	In option #1 the development would commence once new City facilities were occupied. In option #2, development of private components would begin along with development of public improvements	,	In option #1, no development would begin prior to 2021 and earliest completion would be 2023 or later.	In option #1, no development would begin prior to 2021 and earliest completion would be 2023 or later.	Development would not begin until the completion of City Development. Likely not until 2021	None	No development would begin prior to 2021 and earliest completion would be 2023 or later.	
Financial	Offered to pay appraisal value of the superblock site. BAFO submission provided a firm offer of \$14.35 mill for the superblock contingent on City swinging off entire site once the developer agreement was closed	If option #2 (full swing off superblock) was selected, Plenary could close 2 to 3 year sooner than Strad/Scannell, putting more certainty into the offsetting revenues and accelerating when the City would receive them	No commitment to closing.	No formal partnership with hotel operator.	Offered to pay appraisal value of the sites. Proposed to	Offered to return the site to the City if they did not move forward within one year of receiving entitlement. Would create more tax revenues to City than Plenary (assuming both developments have a 200 room hotel)		Offered to pay appraisal value for the site on the completion of entitlements. Hard to quantify value to the City