



Community Development Department – Planning Division
1600 First Street + P.O. Box 660
Napa, CA 94559-0660

(707) 257-9530

PLANNING COMMISSION STAFF REPORT

JUNE 21, 2018

AGENDA ITEM 7.B File No. PL17-0166 – **LOS ROBLES SUBDIVISION EXTENSION II**

I. GENERAL INFORMATION

PROJECT SUMMARY: Two-year extension of a previously approved Use Permit, Design Review Permit, and Tentative Map

LOCATION OF PROPERTY: 21 Los Robles Drive
APNs 046-180-016, -017, -018


GENERAL PLAN: Single-Family Residential (SFR-182 & SFR-183)

ZONING: Single-Family Residential (RS-20); Hillside Overlay (HS)

APPLICANT / PROPERTY OWNER: Thomas Hodge
R&H Development
P.O. Box 6942
Napa, CA 94581
Phone: (707) 501-8550

STAFF PLANNER: Michael Allen, Associate Planner
Phone: (707) 257-9530

LOCATION MAP



II. PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The Applicant, Thomas Hodge of R&H Development, requests a two-year extension of the previously approved Los Robles Subdivision (Planning Division File No. PL17-0166). The project was originally approved November 14, 2006 with an initial expiration date of November 13, 2008 (Planning Division File No. 04-0259) (Resolution No. R2006 184). The City of Napa granted a two-year extension on May 5, 2009 (Planning Division File No. PL08-0133) (Resolution No. R2009 55) extending the expiration date to November 10, 2010. Subsequently, the project was given four State-mandated extensions, including: Senate Bill (SB) 1185 to November 13, 2011, Assembly Bill (AB) 333 to November 13, 2013, AB 208 to November 13, 2015, and AB 116 to November 13, 2017. Although this expiration date has already passed, the Applicant submitted the Tentative Map extension request prior to its expiration. Pursuant to Sections 66452.6, 66452.23, and 66452.24 of the Subdivision Map Act and City ordinance, extension applications filed before their expiration are automatically extended until the final disposition of the time extension request by the City Council.

The previously approved project subdivides the three properties totaling 5.9 acres into five single-family residential lots. Lot sizes range from 20,072 square feet to 94,967 square feet. Three lots would front on Seville Drive (Lots 1, 2, and 3), one lot on the north side of Los Robles Drive (Lot 4), and one lot on the south side of Los Robles Drive for the existing home (Lot 5).

The project includes the following previously approved entitlements:

1. Use Permit to authorize an increase in residential density within the Hillside Overlay District;
2. Design Review Permit for a subdivision map and house plans; and
3. Tentative Map to subdivide the 5.9-acre property into five single-family residential lots.

III. CONTEXT

The 5.9-acre project site is comprised of three properties on a hillside with average slopes of approximately 25 percent. Seville Drive runs along the southern boundary of the project site and provides access to the southern property. Cayetano Drive terminates at the western boundary of the project site at Los Robles Drive, which provides access to the two parcels, one containing an existing home, and then to the two northern properties. Vegetation consists of grasses, with numerous oak trees on the northern portion of the project site. Single-family residences are located to the west, south, and east of the project site. The City Limit and Rural Urban Limit are coterminous with the northern boundary of the project site.

FIGURE 1 – SUBDIVISION MAP





FIGURE 2 – SEVILLE DRIVE ELEVATIONS (LOTS 1, 2, AND 3)

IV. ANALYSIS

A. SUBDIVISION ORDINANCE AND SUBDIVISION MAP ACT

In accordance with Napa Municipal Code (NMC) Section 16.20.090 and Section 66452.6 of the Subdivision Map Act, approved tentative subdivision maps shall expire 24 months after they are approved. Tentative maps must be filed and recorded as a Final Map prior to this deadline before grading and building permits can be issued for project construction. NMC Section 16.20.100 (Extensions) states that “[u]pon application of the subdivider filed with the Community Development Director prior to the expiration of the tentative map, such map may be extended by the Council as provided for in Section 66452.6 of the Subdivision Map Act.” Section 66452.6(e) of the Subdivision Map Act provides for an extension of a tentative parcel map for a period not to exceed six years. However, the State enacted legislation on four occasions between 2008 and 2013 automatically extending the life of all tentative maps, depending on their original expiration dates, by up to seven years.

The Applicant has requested a two-year extension, and is in the process of exploring modifications to the project. These include a modification to the alignment of Los Robles Drive so that it connects to Molina Street to the east. As a part of these modifications, the Applicant has also filed, separately, for an amendment to the Modrall Subdivision (File Nos. PL05-0198 and PL18-0079), which is a six-lot single-family residential development at the northern terminus of Molina Street adjacent to the project site. Since the Applicant filed a timely application for an extension and there have been no substantial changes in City policies regarding parcel maps nor changes to the findings that were made in support of the tentative parcel map approval, Staff determined that a two-year time extension could be found acceptable. Should the City Council grant the extension based on the Planning Commission’s advisory recommendation, the Applicant will have the ability to file for one more two-year extension. However, it is important to note that the Council has been reluctant to continue to grant map extensions for old projects unless applicants are pursuing project development. In speaking with the Applicant about their basis for requesting the extension, Staff is advised that the developer is considering revisions to the approved project but wishes to keep the current map alive while exploring options.

B. GENERAL PLAN

The two northern properties are located within the SFR-182, Single-Family Residential General Plan Designation, which provides for detached single-family developments at a density range of two to five units per acre. The southern property is located within the

SFR-183 Designation, which provides for detached single-family developments at a density range of three to seven units per acre. Within the Hillside Overlay District, the allowable base density is reduced based upon the slope of the property (see Subsection IV.C of this Report). The five lots proposed for extension of this map would result in a density of 1.2 units per acre, as originally approved by the Council in 2006. The project was previously determined to be consistent with the General Plan, and there have been no subsequent changes to the General Plan which would conflict with the project.

Staff has determined that the proposal may be found consistent with the General Plan and in support of the following General Plan goals and policies:

- Land Use Element Policy H.1 encourages the efficient use of land. The proposed project allows for the future development of an underutilized property with residential uses that are consistent with this Designation.
- Land Use Element Policy LU-4.5 encourages projects to be compatible with the surrounding neighborhood. The existing single-family use will be retained and its size, configuration, and design would be compatible with existing residences in the area consistent with this Policy.

C. ZONING

The property is located within the RS-20, Single-Family Residential Zoning District, which provides for detached single-family residential development with a minimum lot size of 20,000 square feet. With lots ranging in size from 20,072 square feet to 94,967 square feet, the proposed development complies with the minimum lots sizes established by the RS-20 District. The property is also located within the HS, Hillside Overlay District which establishes regulations for development on hillside lots, including limitations on density based upon slope, alternative development standards, and the Hillside Development Guidelines. NMC Section 17.40.040 establishes alternative development standards for the Hillside Overlay District, which modify base standards established in RS-20 District. The proposed project complies with these standards as illustrated in Table 1. The project was previously determined to be consistent with the Zoning Ordinance, and there have been no subsequent changes to the Zoning Ordinance that would conflict with the project.

TABLE 1 – DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS
TABLE 1 – RS-20 PROPERTY DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS

Criteria	Lot Area (square feet)	Height (feet)	Front Setback (feet)	Side Yard (feet)	Rear Yard (feet)	Lot Coverage (percentage)
Standard	min. 20,000	max. 24***	min. 30	min. 10 (1- story) min. 15 (2- story)	min. 30	max. 25
Lot 1	24,870	20	40	11 / 18	20	16
Lot 2	22,077	16	32	10 / 13	20	18
Lot 3	20,072	16	32	10 / 13	20	20
Lot 4*	93,948	-	-	-	-	-
Lot 5**	94,627	-	-	15	130	3

* - at the time of the original approval of this subdivision, a single-family residence was previously approved, that approval has since expired

** - existing home

*** - alternative development standards established in HS Overlay District

D. DESIGN REVIEW

When the project was approved in 2006, the City Council determined that the general design of the parcel map is acceptable for the size, shape, and density of the property and its relation to adjoining development and roadways. There have been no subsequent changes to the City's design review requirements that would conflict with the project.

Additionally, NMC Section 17.62.050 requires design review by the City Council for Tentative Maps and for new single-family houses. The project was approved with three newly proposed split-level homes (Lots 1, 2, and 3) ranging in size from 3,909 to 3,920 square feet and included a previously approved a 3,972 square foot home on Lot 4 (Planning Division File No. 04-0229). The existing 2,784 square foot home on Lot 5 is proposed to be retained.

V. ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW

The City Council had previously determined that this project is exempt from the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) in accordance with the following:

1. Section 15332 of the CEQA Guidelines (Categorical Exemption: Class 32), which exempts in-fill developments where the project is consistent with the general plan designations and policies and zoning districts and regulations, occurs within city limits, is less than five acres in size, is on a site with no value as habitat for endangered, rare, or threatened species, does not results in significant effects relating to traffic, noise, air quality, or water quality, and is served by all required utilities and public services; and
2. The exceptions to categorical exemptions identified in Section 15300.2 of the CEQA Guidelines are inapplicable because the land is in an urbanized area with no

environmentally sensitive habitats or species of concern on the property, there has been no successive effort to intensify land uses in the area, and no unusual circumstances exist that would pose a reasonable possibility of having a significant effect on the environment, and the project does not involve or affect historic resources. Based on this analysis, no significant environmental effects would result from this project and the use of categorical exemptions is appropriate.

VI. REQUIRED FINDINGS

Since the circumstances upon which the original Use Permit, Design Review Permit, and Tentative Map approvals were based have not substantially changed, the project remains in compliance with the required findings in NMC Section 17.60-070 (relating to Use Permits), NMC Section 17.62.080 (relating to Design Review Permits), and NMC Section 16.20.070 (relating to Tentative Maps). These findings are provided in Resolution No. R2006 184 included as Attachment 3 of this Report.

VII. PUBLIC NOTICE

Notice that this application was received was provided by the City on February 27, 2018, and notice of the scheduled public hearing was provided on June 8, 2018, by US Postal Service to all property owners within a 500-foot radius of the subject property. Notice of the public hearing was also published in the Napa Valley Register on June 8, 2018 and provided to people previously requesting notice on the matter at the same time notice was provided to the newspaper for publication.

VIII. STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends that the Planning Commission forward a recommendation to the City Council to: (1) determine that the project is exempt from CEQA pursuant to Section 15332 of the CEQA Guidelines; and (2) approve a two-year extension of the Use Permit, Design Review Permit, and Tentative Map for the previously approved Los Robles Subdivision based on a determination that the application is consistent with the City's General Plan, Subdivision Ordinance, Zoning Ordinance, and other applicable City requirements and policies.

IX. ALTERNATIVE TO RECOMMENDATION

1. Recommend that the application be denied by the City Council.

X. REQUIRED ACTIONS

Final action by the Planning Commission to:

1. Forward a recommendation to the City Council to adopt a resolution determining that the project is exempt from CEQA and approving a two-year extension of the previously approved Use Permit, Design Review Permit, and Tentative Map for the Los Robles Subdivision at 21 Los Robles Drive.

XI. DOCUMENTS ATTACHED

1. Draft Resolution
2. Resolution No. R2006 184 (original approval)
3. Resolution No. R2009 55 (first extension)
4. Approved Plans

RESOLUTION NO. R2018-__

RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF
NAPA, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, APPROVING A TWO-YEAR
EXTENSION OF THE USE PERMIT, DESIGN REVIEW
PERMIT, AND TENTATIVE MAP FOR THE PREVIOUSLY
APPROVED LOS ROBLES SUBDIVISION AT 21 LOS
ROBLES DRIVE AND DETERMINING THAT THE ACTION IS
EXEMPT FROM THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE
CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT

WHEREAS, on November 13, 2017, Thomas Hodge (the "Applicant"), the owner of the property at 21 Los Robles Drive (APNs 046-180-016, -017, -018) ("Site") submitted an application to the City of Napa (Planning Division File No. PL17-0166) for a two-year extension of the previously approved use permit for an increase in residential density within the Hillside Overlay Zoning District ("Use Permit"), design review permit for a subdivision map and house plans ("Design Review Permit"), and a tentative map to subdivide a 5.9-acre property into five single-family residential lots ("Tentative Map") (the "Project"); and

WHEREAS, the Applicant submitted subsequent amendments to the application, which include the latest submittal dated April 6, 2018, which was subsequently deemed complete and accepted by the City of Napa as being consistent with the applicable submittal requirements on May 4, 2018; and

WHEREAS, the City Council of the City of Napa, State of California, adopted Resolution No. R2006 184 on November 14, 2006, approving the Use Permit, Design Review Permit, and Tentative Map for the Project (Planning Division File No. 04-0259); and

WHEREAS, the City Council of the City of Napa, State of California, adopted Resolution No. R2009 55 on May 5, 2009, approving a two-year extension of the Use Permit, Design Review Permit, and Tentative Map for the Project (Planning Division File No. PL08-0133); and

WHEREAS, the Project approvals were extended by State mandates, including Senate Bill (SB) 1185, Assembly Bill (AB) 333, AB 208, and AB 116; and

WHEREAS, the application for an extension was filed prior to the expiration of the Tentative Map; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission held a noticed public hearing on June 21, 2018 on the Project, where it considered all written and oral testimony submitted to it, including a presentation by Staff; and

WHEREAS, the City Council has considered all information related to this matter, as presented at the public meetings of the City Council identified herein, including any supporting reports by City Staff, and any information provided during public meetings.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Napa as follows:

Section 1. The City Council hereby finds that the facts set forth in the recitals to this Resolution are true and correct, and establish the factual basis for the City Council's adoption of this Resolution.

Section 2. The City Council hereby determines that the Project is exempt from the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15332 (Categorical Exemptions; Class 32), which exempts in-fill development projects that: (1) are consistent with the applicable general plan designation and applicable general policies and applicable zoning designation and regulations; (2) occur within city limits on a project site of no more than five acres substantially surrounded by urban uses; (3) are on a project site that has no value as habitat for endangered, rare, or threatened species; (4) would not result in any significant effects relating to traffic, noise, air quality, or water quality; and (5) can be adequately served by all required utilities and public services. The exceptions to categorical exemptions identified in CEQA Guidelines Section 15300.2 are inapplicable because the land is in an urbanized area with no environmentally sensitive habitats or species of concern on the property, there has been no successive effort to intensify land uses in the area, and no unusual circumstances exist that would pose a reasonable possibility of having a significant effect on the environment, and the project does not involve or affect historic resources. Based on this analysis, no significant environmental effects would result from this project and the use of categorical exemptions is appropriate.

Section 3. The City Council hereby finds that the circumstances upon which the approval of the Use Permit, Design Review Permit, and Tentative Map were based have not substantially changed and that the findings made for the Los Robles Subdivision in Resolution Nos. R2006 184 and R2009 55 still apply to the Project and adequately support the requested extension of approvals.

Section 5. The City Council hereby grants a two-year extension of the Project to November 13, 2019. This extension is granted subject to compliance with the conditions of approval contained in Resolution Nos. R2006 184 and R2009 55, except as modified herein.

Section 6. This Resolution shall take effect immediately upon its adoption.

I HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing resolution was duly and regularly adopted by the City Council of the City of Napa at a regular meeting of said City Council held on the ____ day of _____ 2018 by the following vote:

AYES:

NOES:

ABSENT:

ABSTAIN:

ATTEST: _____
Dorothy Roberts
City Clerk

Approved as to form:

Michael W. Barrett
City Attorney

RESOLUTION R2006 184

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF NAPA, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, APPROVING A USE PERMIT, DESIGN REVIEW AND A TENTATIVE SUBDIVISION MAP FOR LOS ROBLES SUBDIVISION (#04-0259)

WHEREAS, Victor Van Koten submitted an application for a Use Permit to allow an increase in density within the Hillside Overlay District; Design Review of the Tentative Subdivision Map and dwellings; and a Tentative Subdivision Map to divide the property into 5 lots at 21 Los Robles Drive; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission of the City of Napa, State of California, held a noticed public hearing on June 15, 2006 on the subject application and recommended approval of the development application; and

WHEREAS, the City Council of the City of Napa, State of California, held a noticed public hearing on November 14, 2006 on the subject application and recommended approval of the development application; and

WHEREAS, the City Council has considered all information related to this matter, as presented at the public meetings of the City Council identified herein, including any supporting reports by City staff, and any information provided during public meetings.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Napa as follows:

Section 1. The City Council hereby finds that the facts set forth in the recitals to this resolution are true and correct, and establish the factual basis for the City Council's adoption of this resolution.

Section 2. The City Council hereby finds that this project is exempt from CEQA pursuant to Section 15332 of the CEQA Guidelines which exempts infill development projects.

Section 3. The City Council makes the following Use Permit findings:

1. The proposed use is in accord with the General Plan, applicable specific plans, the objectives of the Zoning ordinance and the purposes of the district and overlay district in which the site is located.

As addressed in the Planning Commission staff report Analysis, the proposed project has been found to be consistent with the Single Family Residential General Plan designation and the RS-20:HS, Residential: Hillside Overlay District regulations. The proposed 5 lots is well below the maximum of 9 lots that would be allowed under the Hillside Overlay's slope density formula.

2. The proposed use, together with the conditions applicable thereto, will not be detrimental to the public health, safety, or welfare, or materially injurious to properties or improvements in the vicinity, or to the general welfare of the City.

Staff has determined that the three additional dwellings that would result from this subdivision would not have any detriments to the public health, safety and welfare.

3. The proposed use complies with each of the applicable provisions of the Zoning Ordinance.

As addressed in the Planning Commission staff report Analysis, the proposed project has been found to be consistent with the RS-20:HS, Residential: Hillside Overlay zoning of the property.

Section 4. The City Council makes the following Design Review findings:

1. The project design is in accord with the General Plan and any applicable Specific Plan design policies.

The proposed Tentative Subdivision Map improvements and single-family use of the property are consistent with the Single Family Residential General Plan as discussed in the Analysis above.

2. The project design is consistent with applicable Design Review Guidelines adopted by the City Council.

The design of the Tentative Subdivision Map appears consistent with the Hillside Design Guidelines and Residential Design Guidelines as discussed in the Planning Commission staff report Analysis.

3. The Design Review permit is in accord with provisions of this Title and will not be detrimental or injurious to property or improvements in the vicinity of the development site, or to the public health, safety or general welfare.

The Design Review permit is consistent with Title 17 of the Municipal Code (Zoning Ordinance), and staff has not identified any effects of from this 5-lot subdivision that would have an adverse affect on public health, safety or welfare.

Section 5. The City Council makes the following Tentative Subdivision Map findings:

1. The proposed subdivision, together with the provisions for its design and improvement, is consistent with the General Plan.

The proposed subdivision, improvements and single family use of the property are consistent with the Single Family Residential General Plan designation as discussed in the Planning Commission staff report Analysis.

2. The design of the proposed subdivision provides, to the extent feasible, for future passive or natural heating and cooling opportunities in the subdivision, as described in the State Subdivision Map Act and any guidelines promulgated by the Council.

The proposed lot configuration allows for passive heating and cooling opportunities with building orientation and landscaping.

Section 6. The City Council hereby approves a Use Permit to allow an increase in density within the Hillside Overlay District; Design Review of the Tentative Subdivision Map and dwellings; and a Tentative Subdivision Map to divide the property into 5 lots as described in Exhibits A - 0 prepared by Riechers Spence & Associates, date stamped April 4, 2006, and attached to the staff report of June 15, 2006 which is on file in the Community Development Department – Planning Division, subject to the following:

SPECIAL CONDITIONS:

City Council.

1. The City Council approves the project as proposed with a public street extension of Cayetano Drive to the east property line of the project site. However, if the three property owners of 21 Los Robles Drive, 33 Los Robles Drive and 45 Los Robles Drive agree in writing to a plan for an unrestricted private street connection between the existing terminus of Cayetano Drive and the existing terminus of Molina Drive and that plan is approved by the Traffic Advisory Committee, then said road extension may be a private street. The circulation plan shall include provisions for extensions of all necessary utilities. If the private street extension plan is approved by the Traffic Advisory Committee, it shall take precedence over any other conditions of approval herein which conflict with such an approved alternative plan.

Community Development Department – Planning Division.

2. Building plans for Lots 1, 2 and 3 shall reflect full compliance with the base setback and yard requirements of the RS-20 zoning district.
3. In order to break up the repetitive house design on Lots 1, 2 and 3, a significantly different house plan shall be submitted for Lot 2 for Planning Division approval.
4. All exterior colors shall be subject to Planning Division approval.

Community Development Department – Development Engineering Division.

5. Approval of this project shall be subject to the requirements of (and all improvements shall be constructed in accordance with) the Napa Municipal Code, the Public Works Department Standard Specifications and Standard Plans, the Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices – 2003 Edition (and its California Supplement) and the Caltrans Highway Design Manual.

6. The Developer shall construct all on and offsite improvements in accordance with improvement plans and supporting calculations that are prepared by a registered civil engineer and reviewed and approved by the City of Napa Community Development Department (CDD) Engineering Division. The improvement plans and supporting calculations shall include detailed designs for all utilities, water, grading, drainage, erosion control and paving. The plans and calculations must be approved by the City Engineer prior to the approval of the Final Map.

7. The improvement plans shall be prepared in accordance with (and submittals shall be accompanied by) the “Initial Submittal Checklist”, the “Improvement Plan Checklist”, and the “Subdivision Map Checklist”. The checklists are available on the City of Napa Website (www.cityofnapa.org) under the Community Development Department Development Engineering Division menu.

8. The following items shall be installed by the Developer and shown on the improvement plans prior to approval of the plans:

A. The project shall conform to Caltrans sight distance and the City’s visibility triangle (Standard Detail S-25) requirements. In particular, a minimum 10-foot visibility triangle shall be maintained at the intersection of the project driveways with the public streets. Any shrubs, bushes, fences, walls, or other structures within this triangle shall conform to the requirements of Standard Detail S-25. Existing Vegetation that fails to meet this standard shall be trimmed or removed as needed to provide the necessary visibility triangle.

B. Because of limited sight distance caused by the crest of the hill on Seville Drive east of the project, parking shall be prohibited by means of red curb on Seville Drive for 20 feet to the east of each driveway.

C. Cayetano Drive Extension.

(1) The developer shall design, install and dedicate as a public street on the final map the extension of Cayetano Drive from the current eastern end to the east boundary of Lot 4. In particular, the portion of the Cayetano Drive extension within the subject property shall generally follow the existing access road, include two knuckles (similar to the August 24, 2006 preliminary drawing titled “Cayetano Extension Plan Line Drawing” prepared by Riechers Spence & Associates) and shall be designed for a minimum face-of-curb to face-of-curb roadway width of 20 feet plus curb and gutter on both sides and a 5.5 foot curb adjacent sidewalk on the east and south side. The improvements shall lie within a right of way that is at the back of sidewalk on the south side and back of curb on the north side. The street vertical and horizontal alignment shall conform to City of Napa Standards. Street widths shall be wider if necessary to accommodate Fire Department ladder truck turning movements as to be demonstrated on the improvement plans by the plotting of the ladder truck turning template.

(2) The design for the proposed portion of Cayetano Drive that extends across Lot 4 shall continue far enough onto the neighboring property to the east as necessary to demonstrate that the proposed street alignment is properly located to allow for the planned future extension east.

(3) The actual construction of the Cayetano Drive extension by the Developer may end short of the eastern property line as necessary to provide a logical temporary conform to the existing road alignment on the neighboring property as to be determined based on a design that is submitted by the Developer for review and approval by the CDD Engineering Division. The developer shall provide a bond in an amount that covers the costs associated with any portion of the ultimate street as it crosses the proposed Lot 4 that is not constructed due to conform issues. The bond amount shall be determined based on a construction costs estimate that is submitted by the Developer for review and approval by the

CDD Engineering Division. The ultimate right of way dedication shall be provided on the final map and shown on the improvement plans.

D. Two standard parallel parking spaces shall be provided at the eastern end of the new portion of Cayetano Drive near the access drive to the residence, or (to minimize grading and retaining wall impacts) the two parking spaces can be located at an alternate location further from the house to the west near the 90 degree bend in the proposed street as shown on the August 24, 2006 preliminary drawing titled "Cayetano Extension Plan Line Drawing" prepared by Riechers Spence & Associates. The alternate location is acceptable only if an onsite pedestrian path that has been approved by the CDD Engineering and Planning Divisions is provided as a direct access from the house to the parking spaces. Parking shall be prohibited on the Cayetano Drive extension at all other locations. Related signs (R26F - No Stopping Fire Lane) shall be placed at 100 foot intervals along both sides of the street.

E. Curb, gutter, standard sidewalk, street paving, street lights, driveway approaches, drainage facilities, barricades and street trees shall be installed on the development's public street frontages.

F. The driveway approaches for the driveway which leads to the proposed house from the new portion of Cayetano Drive and the driveway which leads to the Lot 5 existing house shall be designed and constructed consistent with City of Napa Standard Plan S-5 or curb returns may be installed with a 10 foot wide stamped concrete strips on the project parcels along the public street right of way line. The driveways (as they extend across the proposed Lots) shall be constructed to City of Napa standards and are to include a minimum 12 foot pavement width and a pavement structural section equivalent to a minimum of 3 inches of asphalt concrete on 10 inches of Class 2 aggregate base material.

G. Required onsite parking areas are to be installed in accordance with City of Napa Standards.

H. The grading and drainage plans shall be prepared for the project that includes a design that allows for a 100-year overland release. All graded building pads shall be above the 100-year overland release elevation.

I. The Developer shall install an underground storm drain system that satisfies the requirements of Policy Resolution No. 17. On-site storm drains, outside of City right-of-way, shall be made of SDR-35 plastic or reinforced concrete pipe.

J. Through curb drains (Std. Detail D5) shall be installed and connected to back of sidewalk drain inlets for each lot. Over sidewalk storm water runoff is not allowed.

K. The Grading and Drainage Plan is to address storm water runoff from lot 5 onto lots 1-3.

L. The grading plans provided by the Developer for review shall include the existing topography shown with contour line labeled at one foot intervals and extending a minimum of 100-feet beyond the limits of the site, or a sufficient distance to indicate impacts on adjacent properties.

M. All public street pavement structural section installations shall include a minimum of 3 inches of Asphalt Concrete over 10 inches of Class 2 Aggregate Base material for local streets.

N. At the new eastern dead-end of Cayetano Drive (east boundary of Lot 4) a sign that has been reviewed and approved by the Public Works Department shall be installed which indicates that the street will be extended to Molina Street in the future in accordance with the City of Napa General Plan Section T-1.9c.

O. The Developer shall grant 10 foot wide public utility easements adjacent to the public street right-of-way. The easement shall be dedicated and shown on the Final Map and shown on the improvement plans.

P. Any retaining walls which are greater than one foot in height, which are adjacent to a property line, shall be masonry or concrete. Wood retaining walls shall not be installed adjacent to property lines.

Q. Side yards of each lot shall have of a minimum unobstructed width of five (5) feet. No building encroachments, door landings or mechanical equipment shall be placed in this unobstructed area without the review and approval of the Community Development Department Engineering Division in order to assure adequate drainage.

R. In general, it is the City's policy to require all new parcels to be graded to drain independently from adjoining parcels. If surface drainage is currently passing from adjoining properties onto the subject property, the grading plan for the project shall be designed to continue to accept such drainage and easements shall be established to allow such drainage patterns to continue. All surface drainage must be collected and conveyed to a public street, storm drain or approved outfall.

- S. The improvement plans shall include a Joint Trench Plan.
- T. The improvement plans shall include an Erosion Control Plan.
- U. The improvement plans shall include a Construction Traffic Control Plan.
- V. The improvement plans shall include a Public Street Repair Plan (showing the repair

details and limits of repair) for all improvement installations that will result in the cutting, demolition, destruction, etc. of any existing improvements within the public right of way including but not limited to the installation of curb, gutter, sidewalk, utilities (water, sewer, storm drain, electrical, cable TV, telephone, etc.). This plan shall be updated during the construction process as necessary to reflect any unanticipated street repairs. Associated with said plan are the following requirements:

(1) The Developer shall resurface the existing street pavement whenever a street is cut, either by a longitudinal or transverse cut, for utility or other improvement installations. The resurfacing shall extend a sufficient distance beyond any cut to ensure a smooth transition and shall consist of either a 1 inch asphalt concrete overlay, or petromat with a minimum 1 ½ inch asphalt concrete overlay, depending upon the extent of the proposed pavement cuts and the condition of the existing pavement section, as determined by the City Engineer. Developer shall also provide digouts and reconstruction of any potholed and/or alligatored areas. Installation of street paving by the Developer shall include reconstruction of the existing pavement section as required to provide adequate conforms. The limits of such reconstruction shall be reviewed by the Community Development Department Engineering Division and approved by the City Engineer as part of the construction plan review.

(2) The Developer shall repair all pavement, curb, gutter and sidewalk that are damaged by the construction process in accordance with The Public Works Department Standard Specifications and Standard Plans.

(3) Any unanticipated street cuts or other street repair items that become evident following improvement plan approval shall be included by way of revisions to the Street Repair Plan.

- W. Storm Drainage Detention System.

(1) The Developer shall install storm drain Detention system improvements as required to maintain post development 10 year, 25 year and 100 year runoff from the proposed construction on Lots 1, 2, 3 and 4 at pre-development levels. It is assumed that no new construction (impervious surfaces) will occur on Lot 5.

(2) The Developer shall install detention system storm drain inlets sized to pass at a minimum the 100-year flows.

(3) Detention facilities shall be located entirely on private property (outside of the public rights of way) and shall be privately maintained through the required homeowners association.

- X. Post Construction Water Quality Measures.

(1) In accordance with the Residential "City of Napa Public Works Department: Policy and Procedure for Post-Construction Best Management Practices, Storm Water Pollution Prevention" (adopted by the City Council July 6, 2004 to clarify the NPDES General Permit requirements), the developer shall incorporate post development measures (BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES (BMP'S)) into the project design to mitigate project impacts to water quality.

(2) The post-construction BMP's shall be shown on the project improvement plans.

(3) As a basis for developing BMP's it is recommended that the Developer's engineer utilize the "California Storm Water Association BMP Handbook" and "Start at the Source" (a design guidance manual for storm water quality protection prepared for the San Francisco Bay Area Storm water Management Agencies Association).

(4) The project post-construction BMP's shall include but not be limited to the items listed in the City Council adopted Policy and Procedure document and shall conform to the design criteria limits listed.

(5) Grassy swales, bio-retention areas, underground treatment vault systems, and all other treatment systems are to be sized in accordance with calculations that conform to the General Permit criteria and are to be reviewed and approved by the Bridge and Urban Drainage Division.

Y. The Developer shall provide a set of the project improvement plans to the Water Division for review and approval of the following items:

(1) The plans shall show all existing and proposed public water facilities and easements within a minimum of 50 feet of the proposed project boundaries.

(2) The existing 8" water main in Cayetano Drive shall be extended to the end of the new public street section (the east boundary of Lot 4). A new fire hydrant shall be installed at the end of the

new public water main and shall be used as the blow-off for the main. All services shall be placed within the public right-of-way with private service laterals extending to the point-of-use.

(3) Water services to Lots 1, 2, and 3 shall be taken off of the existing water main on Seville Drive.

(4) Any unused service shall be abandoned at the City water main.

(5) On-site fire hydrants, if required, shall be privately owned and maintained. Service shall be provided by a dedicated fire service from the City water main.

(6) Approved backflow prevention devices shall be installed on all new and existing domestic and fire water services.

(7) If any domestic and/or fire service double check backflow device is to be installed in a vault, the plans shall include the vault specifications and shall specify which backflow device(s) are proposed to be placed in a vault.

(8) No signs, fences, trees, foundations, or other permanent structures shall be installed within 10 feet of a City water main, or within a public water utility easement.

(9) Proposed separations between public water facilities and other utilities shall meet the required minimum separations as specified by the Department of Health and the City of Napa Public Works Standards and shall be approved by the City of Napa Water Division.

(10) All new and existing public water facilities, including but not limited to water meters, backflow devices, services laterals, and fire hydrants, shall be located outside of all driveways and driveway approaches.

(11) All proposed hot taps to an existing City water main shall be marked out as "Hot Tap By City Forces at Contractor's Expense."

9. The Developer is responsible for all coordination with utility companies and the design of all utility service installations that are required to serve the project, including utility layout, design and costs associated with any necessary facilities upgrades, revisions, relocations and/or extensions. The developer shall relocate or underground any overhead utilities that conflict with the new improvements.

10. The Developer shall connect the project to the Napa Sanitation District for sanitary sewer service. Sewer services shall show on the improvement plans and shall be installed in accordance with Napa Sanitation District standards and reviewed and approved by the Napa Sanitation District.

11. The Developer and the construction contractor shall satisfy the requirements stated in the following notes. The Developer's engineer shall include the following notes on the improvement plans prior to approval of the plans by the CDD Engineering Division:

A. No grading and/or excavation shall be performed except in accordance with the approved erosion control plan and schedule. The contractor shall hydro-seed slopes and install the appropriate erosion control measures prior to October 1, and shall provide sufficient maintenance and irrigation of the slopes such that growth is established by November 1.

B. During the course of the project construction (including non-working hours), the contractor is to provide appropriate signage, flashers, and barricades for open trenches that are approved by the Community Development Department Engineering Division Construction Inspector to warn oncoming motorists, bicyclists, and pedestrians of potential safety hazards.

C. Any pedestrian access through and/or adjacent to the project site shall remain unobstructed during the project construction or an alternate route shall be established as approved by the Police Chief and City Engineer.

D. During the course of the project construction, all project-related grading, trenching, backfilling, and compaction operations shall be conducted in accordance with the City of Napa Public Works Department Standard Specifications.

E. All construction activities shall meet the Uniform Building Code regulations for seismic safety (i.e., reinforcing perimeter and/or load bearing walls, bracing parapets, etc.).

F. Grading and construction equipment shall be shut down when not in use.

G. For all construction activity the contractor shall control dust in accordance with the requirements of the City of Napa Public Works Department Standard Specifications and Standard Plans (Part II – General Provisions – Dust Control); the National Pollution Discharge Elimination System requirements; and the California Department of Transportation Construction Manual (Chapter 4 – Construction Details – Section 10 Dust Control). Use of any City of Napa water for this purpose shall be from a metered source that is approved by the City of Napa Water Division and shall be requested in writing by the Contractor.

H. During the construction/demolition/renovation period of the project, the contractor shall use the franchised garbage hauler for the service area in which the project is located to remove all wastes generated during project development, unless contractor transports project waste. If the contractor transports the project's waste, the contractor must use the appropriate landfill for the service area in which the project is located.

I. The contractor shall provide for the source separation of wood waste for recycling. The contractor shall use the franchised garbage hauler for the service area in which located for collection of such wood waste, unless the contractor transports such wood waste to a location where wood waste is recycled.

12. Construction Water Quality Measures.

A. In accordance with the NPDES Construction General Permit, the Developer shall incorporate water quality Best Management Practices (BMP's) into the project construction process.

B. The construction BMP's shall be shown on the project erosion control plans.

C. The overall project grading involves an area of disturbed surface soil that is greater than one acre, therefore the project property owner shall file a Notice of Intent (NOI) with the State Water Resources Control Board prior to any construction activity.

D. The property owner shall prepare a Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan.

E. The property owner is responsible for incorporating water quality measures into the project construction operation. The project property owner shall insure that the contractor manages all construction activities; and handles, stores and disposes of all hazardous and non-hazardous waste in a manner that eliminates or minimizes (to the maximum extent practicable) the discharge of pollutants (e.g. motor oil, fuels, paints/stains and solvents, asphalt products, concrete, herbicides and pesticides, etc.) to the storm drains, ground water, and/or waterways. The project property owner shall insure that the contractor incorporates spill prevention and cleanup measures into the construction operation. All discarded materials shall be removed from the site and disposed of at an approved disposal facility. The project property owner shall insure that the contractor incorporates protected and designated equipment cleanup and fueling areas into the construction operation. The project property owner shall pay all cleanup, testing, disposal and City administrative costs associated with the discharge of pollutants into the storm drains and/or waterways as a result of the project construction activity.

13. Prior to approval of the improvement plans for the project the Developer shall submit documentation to the CDD Engineering Division for review and approval that indicates that the following items have been addressed:

A. The Developer shall pay all water connection fees to the Water Division prior to the approval of the improvement plans. No inspections or water service from the Water Division will be initiated until all connection fees for the project have been paid. Fees can be paid at the Water Division office, 1340 Clay Street, in Napa.

B. Developer shall provide an erosion and sediment control plan and a schedule for implementation of approved measures to the CDD Engineering Division for review and approval with the first improvement plans submitted for review. A grading permit shall not be issued until the erosion control plan is approved.

C. The Developer shall submit storm drain system design calculations that are prepared by a registered civil engineer for review and approval by the CDD Engineering Division. Storm drain system design flows shall be calculated based on the requirements listed in the City of Napa Public Works Department Standard Specifications and Standard Plans. The calculations shall include a drainage basin map showing basin limits and area in acres, hydrology and system hydraulic calculations, pipe size calculations, inlet capacity calculations, and other information necessary to support the proposed design. Storm drains will be designed to carry a 10-year storm. The storm drain system design calculations shall show that the pipes have a self-cleaning minimum velocity of three feet (3') per second when flowing half full (Design Manual Concrete Pipe, Page 7 and Caltrans Highway Design Manual, Page 830-15).

D. The Developer shall submit storm drain detention system supporting calculations for review and approval by CDD Engineering Division prior to improvement plan approval.

E. The Developer shall submit copies of the NOI (including the State Water Resources Control Board WDID Number) and the Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) (along with a copy of the transmittal that went along with this material to the SWRCB) to the Community Development Department Engineering Division prior to the commencement of any project construction activity and the issuance of a building permit, grading permit or improvement plan approval.

F. Grassy swales, bio-retention areas, underground treatment vault systems, and all other flow or treatment based BMP systems are to be sized in accordance with calculations that conform to the General Permit criteria and are to be reviewed and approved by the Bridge and Urban Drainage Division.

G. The Developer shall submit to the Community Development Department Engineering Division a Soils Investigation/Geotechnical Report in accordance with Section 16.36.200 of the City of Napa Municipal Code with the first improvement plan submittal. The improvement plans shall incorporate all design and construction criteria specified in the report. The geotechnical engineer shall sign the improvement plans and approve them as conforming to their recommendations prior to improvement plan approval. The geotechnical engineer shall also assume responsibility for inspection of the work and prior to acceptance of the work shall certify to the City that the work performed is adequate and complies with their recommendations. Additional soils information may be required by the Chief Building Inspector during the plan check of building plans in accordance with Title 15 of the City of Napa Municipal Code.

14. The Developer shall submit the project Final Map for review by the CDD Engineering Division and approval by the City Engineer.

15. The Owner of the property shall record CC&R's and establish an incorporated homeowner's association to provide long term maintenance, financing and monitoring for the storm water detention system and any other structural storm water pollution reduction devices or treatment control Best Management Practices (BMPs) that are incorporated as part of the project. If the project does not include commonly owned property, then the owner of the property may record CC&Rs establishing an incorporated maintenance association to provide long-term maintenance of the improvements in lieu of a homeowner's association.

A. The City Engineer and City Attorney must approve the substance and form of the CC&R's and associated documents prior to the approval of the final map.

B. The documents shall be recorded contemporaneously with the final map.

C. The CC&R's and homeowners association (or maintenance association if applicable) must provide a detailed outline of responsible parties, inspections, maintenance procedures, the perpetual maintenance and replacement of the improvements, monitoring documentation and annual reporting to the City Public Works Department, and procedures for administration and oversight.

D. In addition, the CC&R's and homeowners association (or maintenance association if applicable) must provide for appropriate provisions relating to enforcement options, the right of the City to access the property to perform work, the right of the City to recover its costs, indemnification and enforcement provisions, as well as any other provisions deemed necessary or convenient to accomplish the City's objectives. The City of Napa shall either be a signatory to the agreement or a third party beneficiary to the agreement with the right but not the obligation to enforce the obligations and secure attorney's fees for legal counsel to enforce such obligations.

16. Prior to approval of the Final Map, the Developer shall either install all onsite and offsite improvements or work with the CDD Engineering Division to execute the City's Standard Improvement Agreement, provide bonds and provide proof of workers compensation insurance and general liability insurance in the forms and amounts as required by the Risk Manager and City Attorney. Typically one million dollar general liability insurance is required.

17. Prior to approval of the Final Map, the Developer shall furnish the Community Development Department Engineering Division with proof of the payment of the mapping service fee as required by Napa County Board of Supervisors Resolution No. 92-119.

18. Developer shall execute and submit to the CDD Engineering Division a reciprocal access and maintenance agreement for the private access drive that crosses over Lot 4 to serve the neighbor to the east. The agreement is to include the owner of the neighboring parcel to the east. Should the owner of the adjoining property be unwilling to enter into the agreement, the agreement shall include an offer of reciprocal access to that owner. The agreement shall be in a form approved by the City Attorney and shall be recorded with the Final Map. Associated easements shall be shown on the Final map.

19. Any additional right-of-way necessary to accommodate the project public street improvements shall be dedicated to the City by the Developer on the Final Map.

20. Type C drainage easements shall be established for the drainage facilities or lot to lot runoff in accordance with the City of Napa Public Works Department Standard Specifications and Standard Plans, Drainage Easements. Drainage easements for pipes shall have a minimum width of 15-feet. Pipes exceeding 24-inches in diameter or deeper than 5-feet will require wider easements as

determined by the Public Works Director. All drainage easements must be shown on the grading plans and offered for dedication on the Final Map.

21. Prior to issuance of the building permit for the project the Developer shall submit documentation to the Building Division for review and approval that indicates that the following items have been addressed:

A. In order to mitigate the cumulative impact of the traffic generated by the subject project on the City's arterial and collector street system, the Developer shall pay a Street Improvement Fee in accordance with Napa Municipal Code Chapter 15.84 and implementing resolutions to pay for the traffic improvements identified therein. Such fee shall be payable at the rate in effect at the time of payment. The findings set forth in the ordinance and implementing resolutions are incorporated herein. The City further finds that the calculation of the fees in accordance with the trip generation capacity of development demonstrates there is a reasonable relationship between the amount of the fees imposed and the cost of the street improvements attributable to this project.

(1) Per the Standard Fees and Charges for Fiscal Year 2005/2006 (Policy Resolution 16), the current rate for the Street Improvement Fee for this project is \$4,723 per new dwelling unit.

B. In accordance with the results of the Shurtleff Avenue/Terrace Drive Area Wide Traffic Study the project is to pay a fair share contribution toward improvements identified in said study at the Third Street/East Avenue/Silverado Trail/Coombsville Road intersection and the future Silverado Trail/Saratoga Drive intersections to mitigate the cumulative impact of the traffic generated by the subject project. The City finds that the calculation of the fees in accordance with the trip generation capacity of development demonstrates there is a reasonable relationship between the amount of the fees imposed and the cost of the improvements attributable to this project.

(1) The fair share costs for improvements identified in the Shurtleff Avenue/Terrace Drive Area Wide Traffic Study is \$471 per new dwelling unit for the Third Street/East Avenue/Silverado Trail/Coombsville Road intersection and \$221 per new dwelling unit for the future Silverado Trail/Saratoga Drive improvements.

C. The above fee amounts are provided based on the current rates. City fee amounts are updated periodically. The developer shall pay the fees based on the rate in effect at the time of payment.

D. The above per-dwelling-unit fee amounts shall be paid prior to issuance of the individual building permits.

22. Prior to issuance of a certificate of occupancy for the project the Developer shall submit documentation to the Building Division for review and approval that indicates that the following items have been completed as reviewed and approved by the CDD Engineering Division:

A. The Developer shall complete the water demand mitigation requirements of this project as specified by the City of Napa Water Division. The Developer will be contacted by the City of Napa Water Division after obtaining a building permit specifying the requirements for the proposed project.

B. The improvements identified on the Public Street Repair Plan shall be completed.

C. All road surfaces shall be restored to pre-project conditions after completion of any project-related pipeline installation activities.

D. Installation of street paving by the Developer shall be completed and shall include reconstruction of the existing pavement section as required to provide adequate conforms. The limits of such reconstruction shall be reviewed by the CDD Engineering Division and approved by the City Engineer as part of the construction plan review. Any necessary pavement conforms shall be installed prior to occupancy.

E. Developer shall replace any damaged curb and gutter along street frontages in accordance with Public Works Department Standard Specifications prior to occupancy.

F. All onsite and offsite improvements shall be installed prior to occupancy.

G. The Developer shall submit to the CDD Engineering Division all improvement plans in digital auto-cad format, compatible with the City's current version, and tied to the City's coordinate system for all storm drain facilities, water lines, lot lines, sanitary sewer lines, sidewalks and streets. Auto-cad files shall be updated for as-built information and submitted to and approved as complete by the Community Development Department Engineering Division prior to occupancy.

23. The Developer shall furnish proof satisfactory in form to the City Attorney of the acquisition of all rights of entry, permits, easements, etc., necessary to construct the project or to satisfy required project mitigation measures and/or conditions prior to Final Parcel Map approval or occupancy if no map is required.

24. Prior to initiation of water service:

A. The Developer shall have all backflow devices shall be installed. The Developer shall have all backflow devices tested by an AWWA certified tester from a list of testers provided by the City of Napa. The test results shall be submitted by the Developer to the City of Napa Water Division.

B. The Developer shall pay all meter set fees and hot tap fees to the Water Division at the time of installation. Fees shall be paid at the Water Division office, 1340 Clay Street, in Napa.

Fire Marshal.

25. In recognition of the need to meet additional emergency services generated by the cumulative development created by this project, the developer shall pay the Paramedic and Fire Development fee required by the Napa Municipal Code. Fees shall be paid prior to the issuance of a building permit.

26. Fire apparatus access shall:

A. Be paved to City Standards

B. Have a minimum 13'-6" overhead clearance

C. Be free of speed bumps

D. Not be interrupted by gates or barriers

E. Shall be provided to within 150' of the furthest point of the structure as measured by an approved route

27. Access roads shall be completed with all-weather surfaces prior to combustible construction.

28. All new buildings shall have an automatic fire sprinkler installed in accordance with the National Fire Protection Association Standard 13D. Plans and calculations shall be submitted and approved prior to the issuance of a building permit.

29. Applicants are responsible for securing information regarding water supply and pressure for the purpose of designing the fire sprinkler systems.

30. Combustible vegetation that would tend to increase the spread of fire shall not be permitted within 30' of structures.

Community Resources Department.

31. Applicant shall provide a statement which discloses whether any protected native trees exists on the property and if so, describing each such tree, its species, size, drip line area, and location. This requirement shall be met by including the information on plans submitted in connection with the application.

32. If applicant is proposing to remove a protected native tree, in addition to the above requirement, applicant shall show on the plans and identify by species the location of all other trees on the site and in adjacent public right-of-way that are within thirty (30) feet of the area proposed for development, and any trees located on adjacent property with canopies overhanging the project site.

33. If applicant is proposing to retain protected native tree(s), in addition to the requirement in 1) above, a permit is required from the Community Resources Director for any activity affecting protected native trees listed in Section 12.45.054 of the Napa Municipal Code.

34. All trees to be retained including those in the public right of way shall be protected from construction impacts as per City of Napa Standard Specifications.

CITY GENERAL CONDITIONS:

Community Development Department – Planning Division.

35. Unless otherwise specifically provided, each condition of this approval shall be satisfied prior to issuance of a building permit, or if a building permit is not required, prior to the commencement of use; however, in the event the subject approval is for a tentative subdivision map or parcel map, each condition shall be satisfied prior to final map approval. Applicant's (and land owner, if different) execution of the City's improvement agreement with required security may be accepted in lieu of condition completion.

36. No use authorized by a use permit or planned development permit may commence until after the Developer executes any required permit agreement.

Community Resources Department.

37. Developer shall submit a conceptual street tree planting plan (to be included in any required improvement plan) for all public streets for approval by the Public Works Director; in the event Developer utilizes a curb adjacent sidewalk, Developer shall submit a conceptual private tree planting plan for approval. For subdivision projects, Developer shall enter into an agreement satisfactory in form and security to City to plant and maintain the required street trees prior to the approval of a Final or Parcel Map. For projects other than subdivisions, Developer shall plant trees shown on the City's approved Street Tree List in accordance with the Standard Specification for Public Improvements and approved conceptual tree planting plans prior to City's issuance of an occupancy permit or commencement of the use.

38. Developer shall maintain (which term includes replacement) the trees in a healthy, vigorous and growing condition for a period of three (3) years from the date of planting. If at the end of the three (3) year period the trees are not in a healthy, vigorous and growing condition, at the option of the Community Resources Director, the Developer shall replace the tree(s) and/or the Developer's maintenance obligation may be extended for an additional one (1) year period.

Housing Authority.

39. Unless otherwise provided, Developer shall, in the case of new non-residential development projects, pay the required Housing Impact Fee or, in the case of residential development projects, construct the affordable unit(s) or pay the In-Lieu Fee in accordance with the terms of NMC Chapter 15.94. Fees shall be payable at the rate in effect at the time of payment. The findings set forth in NMC Chapter 15.094 and Resolution No. R1999 161 are incorporated herein. The City further finds that there is a reasonable relationship between the fees imposed and the costs of the facilities attributable to this project.

General.

40. Developers shall pay all applicable fees and charges at the required time and at the rate in effect at time of payment (see Policy Resolution 16 as amended for a partial listing of applicable fees and Policy Resolution 16 or individual departments regarding the timing of fee payment requirements).

41. The authorized project is limited to the project as described in Developer's application, correspondence and final submitted plans and specifications and in accordance with the Developer's representations and agreements made at the public hearing(s) on the project. All project development, including the design and construction of improvements, shall be consistent with the same. Any future additions, expansions, remodeling, including changes in style, size, height, color, bulk, shall be subject to future review by City.

42. Developer shall design and construct all improvements and facilities shown on any approved tentative map, site plan, or other documents submitted for permit approval, all representations made by Developer, and with the plans and specifications submitted to and approved by City, to comply with the General Plan, any applicable Specific Plan, the Napa Municipal Code (NMC), City ordinances and resolutions, the "Standard Specifications" of the Public Works and Fire Departments, as well as any approved tentative map, site plan or other documents submitted for permit approval, all representations made by Developer, and with the plans and specifications submitted to and approved by City.

43. The time limit within which to commence any lawsuit or legal challenge to any quasi-adjudicative decision made by the City is governed by Section 1094.6 of the Code of Civil Procedure, unless a shorter limitations period is specified by any other provision. Under Section 1094.6, any lawsuit or legal challenge to any quasi-adjudicative decision made by the City must be filed no later than the 90th day following the date on which such decision becomes final. Any lawsuit or legal challenge, which is not filed within that 90-day period, will be barred.

44. The Developer shall defend and indemnify and hold the City, its agents, officers, and employees harmless of any claim, action or proceedings to attack, set aside, void or annul the approval so long as the City promptly notifies the Developer of any such claim, action, or proceedings, and the City cooperates fully in the defense of the action or proceedings.

45. The Developer shall pay all City staff development fees, which are or may become due to City pursuant to Napa Municipal Code Section 2-204, et seq.

46. The conditions (and mitigations) of project approval set forth herein include certain fees, dedication requirements, reservation requirements and other exactions. Pursuant to Government Code Section 66020(d)(1), these conditions (and mitigations) constitute written notice of the statement of the amount of such fees and a description of the dedications, reservations, and other exactions. You are hereby

notified that the 90-day period in which you may protest those fees, the amount of which has been identified herein, dedications, reservations and other exactions has begun. If you fail to file a protest complying with all the requirements of Section 66020, you will be legally barred from later challenging such exaction.

47. Violation of any term, condition, mitigation measure or project description relating to this approval is unlawful, prohibited and a violation of the Napa Municipal Code and can result in revocation or modification of this approval and/or the institution of civil and/or criminal enforcement and/or abatement proceedings.

48. Project approval would not have been granted but for the applicability and validity of each and every one of the specified mitigations and conditions, and if any one or more of such conditions and mitigations is found to be invalid by a court of law, this project approval would not have been granted without requiring other valid conditions and/or mitigations consistent with achieving the purpose and intent of such approval.

Section 7. The City Council hereby finds that the facts set forth in the recitals to this resolution are true and correct, and establish the factual basis for the City Council's adoption of this resolution.

Section 8. This Resolution shall take effect immediately upon its adoption.

I HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing resolution was duly and regularly adopted by the City Council of the City of Napa at a regular meeting of said City Council held on the 14th day of November, 2006 by the following roll call vote:

AYES: Techel, Block, Martin, van Gorder and Krider

NOES: None

ABSENT: None

ABSTAIN: None

ATTEST: Sara J. Cox
CITY CLERK OF THE CITY OF NAPA

RESOLUTION R2009 55

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF
NAPA, STATE OF CALIFORNIA AUTHORIZING A TWO-
YEAR EXTENSION OF A USE PERMIT, A DESIGN REVIEW
PERMIT, AND A TENTATIVE SUBDIVISION MAP FOR LOS
ROBLES SUBDIVISION (08-0133)

WHEREAS, Victor Van Koten submitted an application on November 10, 2008 for a two-year extension of a Use Permit to allow increased density in the Hillside overlay zoning district; a Design Review Permit for a Tentative Subdivision Map and house designs; and a Tentative Subdivision Map to divide the a 5.9-acre property into five lots, previously approved by the City Council via Resolution R2006 184, adopted November 14, 2006; and

WHEREAS, the City Council has considered all information related to this matter, as presented at the public meetings of the City Council identified herein, including any supporting reports by City Staff, and any information provided during public meetings.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the City Council of the City of Napa as follows:

Section 1. The City Council determines that the Recommended Action remains consistent with the project for which a determination was made by the City Council on November 10, 2006 (R2006 184) that the project is exempt from CEQA pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15332 (Categorical Exemptions; Class 32) which exempts infill development.

Section 2. The City Council hereby finds that the circumstances upon which the original approval was based have not substantially changed and that the findings made for the Use Permit, Design Review Permit, and Tentative Subdivision Map approvals still apply to the project.

Section 3. Under the authority of Napa Municipal Code Section 16.20.100 and California Government Code Section 66452.6 (related to the extension of tentative maps) and the authority of Napa Municipal Code Section 17.68.130 (related to the extension of permits), the City Council hereby extends the expiration date of the tentative subdivision map, use permit, and design review permits for the Los Robles Subdivision, subject to compliance with all requirements of Resolution R2006 184, for a period of two years after the previous expiration date of November 10, 2008. Therefore, the extension approved by this Resolution shall expire on November 10, 2010. The City Council also adds the following condition to the Use Permit:

No construction activities related to subdivision improvements or home construction shall occur on the weekends.

Section 4. The City Council hereby finds that the facts set forth in the recitals to this Resolution are true and correct, and establish the factual basis for the City Council's adoption of this Resolution.

Section 5. This Resolution shall take effect immediately upon its adoption.

I HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing Resolution was duly and regularly adopted by the City Council of the City of Napa at a regular meeting of said City Council held on the 5th day of May, 2009 by the following vote:

AYES: Techel, Inman, Krider, Mott, van Gorder


NOES: None

ABSENT: None


ABSTAIN: None

ATTEST: 
INTERIM CITY CLERK OF THE CITY OF NAPA

Approved as to form:



Michael W. Barrett
City Attorney



LOCATION MAP

GENERAL NOTES

OWNER:	2081 LONG CREEK DRIVE VAN Nuys, CA 91411	40-200-3001	40-200-3001
DEVELOPER:	WESTERN PACIFIC PO BOX 8027 ANAHEIM, CALIFORNIA 92808	40-200-3001	40-200-3001
CIVIL ENGINEER:	THE HANCOCK GROUP 10000 HOLLYWOOD BLVD VAN Nuys, CA 91411	40-200-3001	40-200-3001
ARCHITECT:	40-200-3001	40-200-3001	40-200-3001
EXISTING USE:	EXISTING USE	EXISTING USE	EXISTING USE
PROPOSED USE:	PROPOSED USE	PROPOSED USE	PROPOSED USE
ADJACENT:	ADJACENT	ADJACENT	ADJACENT
RECORD:	RECORD	RECORD	RECORD
EXISTING ZONE:	EXISTING ZONE	EXISTING ZONE	EXISTING ZONE
PROPOSED ZONE:	PROPOSED ZONE	PROPOSED ZONE	PROPOSED ZONE
APPROVAL:	APPROVAL	APPROVAL	APPROVAL

NOTES:

- [illegible]

BASIS OF BEARINGS

- BEARINGS ARE BASED ON THE MONUMENTED CENTERLINE OF QUARRY DRIVE AS SHOWN ON THE FINAL MAP OF THE QUARRY SUBDIVISION RECORDED AT BOOK M OF RECORDED MAPS, PAGES 35-37 MAPA COUNTY RECORDS, SAID BEARINGS TAKEN AS NORTH, 02°50'00" EAST.

BENCHMARK

- CITY OF NAPA 1700 SUTCLIFF AVENUE AT CURVE
SOUTH OF TULACE CREEK 10' EAST OF CENTERLINE
PROJECTION WEST OF EDGE OF PAVEMENT.
ELEVATION = 49.00 FEET

PREPARED UNDER THE DISCLOSURE OF



NAME & ADDRESS	DATE
RYAN S GREGORY 1011 SMOULDERS DR	4-30-06

EXISTING SUBDIVISION PARCELS

STW 01 10

SYMBOL LEGEND

[illegible]

REFERENCES

AL	ALUMINUM BARS	AL	ALUMINUM
AM	ASBESTOS	AM	AMOUNT
AN	ANNUALLY CONCRETE	AN	ANNUAL RECORDS
AP	APPROXIMATE	AP	APPROXIMATE
AR	AREAS	AR	AREAS
AS	ASPHALT	AS	ASPHALT
AT	ATMOSPHERE	AT	ATMOSPHERE
AV	AVENUE	AV	AVENUE
B	B	B	B
BA	BALANCE	BA	BALANCE
BB	BALANCE	BB	BALANCE
BC	BALANCE	BC	BALANCE
BD	BALANCE	BD	BALANCE
BE	BALANCE	BE	BALANCE
BF	BALANCE	BF	BALANCE
BG	BALANCE	BG	BALANCE
BH	BALANCE	BH	BALANCE
BI	BALANCE	BI	BALANCE
BJ	BALANCE	BJ	BALANCE
BK	BALANCE	BK	BALANCE
BL	BALANCE	BL	BALANCE
BM	BALANCE	BM	BALANCE
BN	BALANCE	BN	BALANCE
BO	BALANCE	BO	BALANCE
BP	BALANCE	BP	BALANCE
BQ	BALANCE	BQ	BALANCE
BR	BALANCE	BR	BALANCE
BS	BALANCE	BS	BALANCE
BT	BALANCE	BT	BALANCE
BU	BALANCE	BU	BALANCE
BV	BALANCE	BV	BALANCE
BW	BALANCE	BW	BALANCE
BX	BALANCE	BX	BALANCE
BY	BALANCE	BY	BALANCE
BZ	BALANCE	BZ	BALANCE
CA	CALCULATED	CA	CALCULATED
CB	CALCULATED	CB	CALCULATED
CC	CALCULATED	CC	CALCULATED
CD	CALCULATED	CD	CALCULATED
CE	CALCULATED	CE	CALCULATED
CF	CALCULATED	CF	CALCULATED
CG	CALCULATED	CG	CALCULATED
CH	CALCULATED	CH	CALCULATED
CI	CALCULATED	CI	CALCULATED
CJ	CALCULATED	CJ	CALCULATED
CK	CALCULATED	CK	CALCULATED
CL	CALCULATED	CL	CALCULATED
CM	CALCULATED	CM	CALCULATED
CN	CALCULATED	CN	CALCULATED
CO	CALCULATED	CO	CALCULATED
CP	CALCULATED	CP	CALCULATED
CQ	CALCULATED	CQ	CALCULATED
CR	CALCULATED	CR	CALCULATED
CS	CALCULATED	CS	CALCULATED
CT	CALCULATED	CT	CALCULATED
CU	CALCULATED	CU	CALCULATED
CV	CALCULATED	CV	CALCULATED
CW	CALCULATED	CW	CALCULATED
CX	CALCULATED	CX	CALCULATED
CY	CALCULATED	CY	CALCULATED
CZ	CALCULATED	CZ	CALCULATED
DA	DAILY	DA	DAILY
DB	DAILY	DB	DAILY
DC	DAILY	DC	DAILY
DD	DAILY	DD	DAILY
DE	DAILY	DE	DAILY
DF	DAILY	DF	DAILY
DG	DAILY	DG	DAILY
DH	DAILY	DH	DAILY
DI	DAILY	DI	DAILY
DJ	DAILY	DJ	DAILY
DK	DAILY	DK	DAILY
DL	DAILY	DL	DAILY
DM	DAILY	DM	DAILY
DN	DAILY	DN	DAILY
DO	DAILY	DO	DAILY
DP	DAILY	DP	DAILY
DQ	DAILY	DQ	DAILY
DR	DAILY	DR	DAILY
DS	DAILY	DS	DAILY
DT	DAILY	DT	DAILY
DU	DAILY	DU	DAILY
DV	DAILY	DV	DAILY
DW	DAILY	DW	DAILY
DX	DAILY	DX	DAILY
DY	DAILY	DY	DAILY
DZ	DAILY	DZ	DAILY
EA	EAST	EA	EAST
EB	EAST	EB	EAST
EC	EAST	EC	EAST
ED	EAST	ED	EAST
EE	EAST	EE	EAST
EF	EAST	EF	EAST
EG	EAST	EG	EAST
EH	EAST	EH	EAST
EI	EAST	EI	EAST
EJ	EAST	EJ	EAST
EK	EAST	EK	EAST
EL	EAST	EL	EAST
EM	EAST	EM	EAST
EN	EAST	EN	EAST
EO	EAST	EO	EAST
EP	EAST	EP	EAST
EQ	EAST	EQ	EAST
ER	EAST	ER	EAST
ES	EAST	ES	EAST
ET	EAST	ET	EAST
EU	EAST	EU	EAST
EV	EAST	EV	EAST
EW	EAST	EW	EAST
EX	EAST	EX	EAST
EY	EAST	EY	EAST
EZ	EAST	EZ	EAST
FA	FACE OF CURB	FA	FACE OF CURB
FB	FACE OF CURB	FB	FACE OF CURB
FC	FACE OF CURB	FC	FACE OF CURB
FD	FACE OF CURB	FD	FACE OF CURB
FE	FACE OF CURB	FE	FACE OF CURB
FF	FACE OF CURB	FF	FACE OF CURB
FG	FACE OF CURB	FG	FACE OF CURB
FH	FACE OF CURB	FH	FACE OF CURB
FI	FACE OF CURB	FI	FACE OF CURB
FJ	FACE OF CURB	FJ	FACE OF CURB
FK	FACE OF CURB	FK	FACE OF CURB
FL	FACE OF CURB	FL	FACE OF CURB
FM	FACE OF CURB		

SITE MAP

EXHIBIT A

NO.	DATE	REVISIONS	BY	APP'D
1	10/1/00	ISSUED FOR PERMITS	WJ	
2	10/1/00	REVISED FOR UTILITY PLAN	WJ	
3	10/1/00	REVISED FOR CONSTRUCTION	WJ	
4	10/1/00	REVISED FOR UTILITY PLAN	WJ	
5	10/1/00	REVISED FOR CONSTRUCTION	WJ	

RIECHERS & ASSOCIATES
 1541 Third Street, Suite 300
 San Jose, CA 95128
 (408) 281-1111
 FAX (408) 281-1112

SEVILLE SUBDIVISION
GRADIN UTILITY PLAN
 CALIFORNIA

ATTACHMENT 2

DATE OF PLAN	10/1/00
SCALE	1" = 20'
DESIGN	WJ
CHECKED	WJ
DATE	10/1/00
BY	WJ
APP'D	
DATE	10/1/00



MATCH LINE SEE SHEET 3

EXISTING HOUSE ON PLOT

5

137.6

137.7

137.4

137.9

138.7

136.4

136.6

141.7

140

142.7

145

150

155

160

163.8

164.3

167

170

175

180

185

190

195

200

205

210

215

220

225

230

235

240

245

250

255

260

265

270

275

280

285

290

295

300

305

310

315

320

325

330

335

340

345

350

355

360

365

370

375

380

385

390

395

400

405

410

415

420

425

430

435

440

445

450

455

460

465

470

475

480

485

490

495

500

505

510

515

520

525

530

535

540

545

550

555

560

565

570

575

580

585

590

595

600

605

610

615

620

625

630

635

640

645

650

655

660

665

670

675

680

685

690

695

700

705

710

715

720

725

730

735

740

745

750

755

760

765

770

775

780

785

790

795

800

805

810

815

820

825

830

835

840

845

850

855

860

865

870

875

880

885

890

895

900

905

910

915

920

925

930

935

940

945

950

955

960

965

970

975

980

985

990

995

1000

1005

1010

1015

1020

1025

1030

1035

1040

1045

1050

1055

1060

1065

1070

1075

1080

1085

1090

1095

1100

1105

1110

1115

1120

1125

1130

1135

1140

1145

1150

1155

1160

1165

1170

1175

1180

1185

1190

1195

1200

1205

1210

1215

1220

1225

1230

1235

1240

1245

1250

1255

1260

1265

1270

1275

1280

1285

1290

1295

1300

1305

1310

1315

1320

1325

1330

1335

1340

1345

1350

NO.	DATE	REVISIONS	BY	APP'D

1501 10th Street
 San Francisco, CA 94103
 415.774.4400
RIECHERS
 ENGINEERS

SEVILLE SUBDIVISION
 GRADING UTILITY PLAN
 CALIFORNIA
ATTACHMENT 2
 SHEET NO. 3
 OF 5 SHEETS





EXHIBIT C

DATE	BY	REVISIONS	NO.	DATE

RIECHERSSTICE
15011 Tenth Street, Suite 100
San Francisco, CA 94122
Tel: 415.772.1234
Fax: 415.772.1235

SEVILLE SUBDIVISION
SLOPE ANALYSIS MAP
CALIFORNIA

PROJECT NO.	100-100000000
DATE	11-1-00
BY	JL
CHECKED	WJ
DATE	11-1-00
BY	JL
CHECKED	WJ
DATE	11-1-00
BY	JL
CHECKED	WJ
DATE	11-1-00



COLOR	SLOPE	UNITS/ACRE	AREA (ACRES)	RECALCULATED
GREEN	2-10%	7	31.13	191 UNITS/ ACRE
BROWN	10-20%	5	2.15	108 UNITS/ ACRE
RED	20-30%	3	0.14	43 UNITS/ ACRE
TOTAL			33.42	
TOTAL AREA			33.42	
TOTAL UNITS				342 UNITS


OVERALL COMPOSITE CALCULATION
191 UNITS/ACRE (4.37 ACRES) + 108 UNITS/ACRE (2.14 ACRES) + 43 UNITS/ ACRE (0.14 ACRES) = 342 UNITS/ ACRE
190 UNITS/ ACRE (4.00 ACRES) + 43 UNITS/ ACRE (0.14 ACRES) = 433 UNITS/ ACRE ALLOWABLE




PREPARED UNDER THE DIRECTION OF

DATE

EXHIBIT D



①



DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION SPECIFICATIONS


- [illegible]

2 STABILIZED CONSTRUCTION ENTRANCE
4 NOT TO SCALE




PREPARED UNDER THE DIRECTION OF

DATE	DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT	BALANCE
12-1-58	PAID TO BANK	100.00	100.00
12-2-58	PAID TO BANK	100.00	200.00
12-3-58	PAID TO BANK	100.00	300.00
12-4-58	PAID TO BANK	100.00	400.00
12-5-58	PAID TO BANK	100.00	500.00
12-6-58	PAID TO BANK	100.00	600.00
12-7-58	PAID TO BANK	100.00	700.00
12-8-58	PAID TO BANK	100.00	800.00
12-9-58	PAID TO BANK	100.00	900.00
12-10-58	PAID TO BANK	100.00	1000.00
12-11-58	PAID TO BANK	100.00	1100.00
12-12-58	PAID TO BANK	100.00	1200.00
12-13-58	PAID TO BANK	100.00	1300.00
12-14-58	PAID TO BANK	100.00	1400.00
12-15-58	PAID TO BANK	100.00	1500.00
12-16-58	PAID TO BANK	100.00	1600.00
12-17-58	PAID TO BANK	100.00	1700.00
12-18-58	PAID TO BANK	100.00	1800.00
12-19-58	PAID TO BANK	100.00	1900.00
12-20-58	PAID TO BANK	100.00	2000.00
12-21-58	PAID TO BANK	100.00	2100.00
12-22-58	PAID TO BANK	100.00	2200.00
12-23-58	PAID TO BANK	100.00	2300.00
12-24-58	PAID TO BANK	100.00	2400.00
12-25-58	PAID TO BANK	100.00	2500.00
12-26-58	PAID TO BANK	100.00	2600.00
12-27-58	PAID TO BANK	100.00	2700.00
12-28-58	PAID TO BANK	100.00	2800.00
12-29-58	PAID TO BANK	100.00	2900.00
12-30-58	PAID TO BANK	100.00	3000.00
12-31-58	PAID TO BANK	100.00	3100.00
1-1-59	PAID TO BANK	100.00	3200.00
1-2-59	PAID TO BANK	100.00	3300.00
1-3-59	PAID TO BANK	100.00	3400.00
1-4-59	PAID TO BANK	100.00	3500.00
1-5-59	PAID TO BANK	100.00	3600.00
1-6-59	PAID TO BANK	100.00	3700.00
1-7-59	PAID TO BANK	100.00	3800.00
1-8-59	PAID TO BANK	100.00	3900.00
1-9-59	PAID TO BANK	100.00	4000.00
1-10-59	PAID TO BANK	100.00	4100.00
1-11-59	PAID TO BANK	100.00	4200.00
1-12-59	PAID TO BANK	100.00	4300.00
1-13-59	PAID TO BANK	100.00	4400.00
1-14-59	PAID TO BANK	100.00	4500.00
1-15-59	PAID TO BANK	100.00	4600.00
1-16-59	PAID TO BANK	100.00	4700.00
1-17-59	PAID TO BANK	100.00	4800.00
1-18-59	PAID TO BANK	100.00	4900.00
1-19-59	PAID TO BANK	100.00	5000.00
1-20-59	PAID TO BANK	100.00	5100.00
1-21-59	PAID TO BANK	100.00	5200.00
1-22-59	PAID TO BANK	100.00	5300.00
1-23-59	PAID TO BANK	100.00	5400.00
1-24-59	PAID TO BANK	100.00	5500.00
1-25-59	PAID TO BANK	100.00	5600.00
1-26-59	PAID TO BANK	100.00	5700.00
1-27-59	PAID TO BANK	100.00	5800.00
1-28-59	PAID TO BANK	100.00	5900.00
1-29-59	PAID TO BANK	100.00	6000.00
1-30-59	PAID TO BANK	100.00	6100.00
1-31-59	PAID TO BANK	100.00	6200.00
2-1-59	PAID TO BANK	100.00	6300.00
2-2-59	PAID TO BANK	100.00	6400.00
2-3-59	PAID TO BANK	100.00	6500.00
2-4-59	PAID TO BANK	100.00	6600.00
2-5-59	PAID TO BANK	100.00	6700.00
2-6-59	PAID TO BANK	100.00	6800.00
2-7-59	PAID TO BANK	100.00	6900.00
2-8-59	PAID TO BANK	100.00	7000.00
2-9-59	PAID TO BANK	100.00	7100.00
2-10-59	PAID TO BANK	100.00	7200.00
2-11-59	PAID TO BANK	100.00	7300.00
2-12-59	PAID TO BANK	100.0	



3	CURB INLET SEDIMENT BARRIER	NOT TO SCALE
4	RSA E60000-02	




NOTES FOR INSTALLATION:


1. PROTECT SOIL BEFORE INSTALLING BLANKETS, INCLUDING APPLICATION OF LIME FERTILIZER AND SEED.
2. BEGIN AT THE TOP OF THE CHANNEL BY UNROLLING THE BLANKET IN AN 8' X 8' TRENCH, BACKFILL, AND COMPACT THE TRENCH AFTER SHAKING.
3. MOVE CENTER BLANKET IN DIRECTION OF WATER FLOW ON BOTTOM OF CHANNEL.
4. PLACE CENTER BLANKETS OVER AND OVER UNTIL THEY ARE 8' OVERLAP.
5. MAKE A DOUBLE ROW OF STANDARDIZED SHAKES 4' APART TO SECURE BLANKETS.
6. FILL LENGTH GAPS OF BLANKETS AT TOP OF EACH TRENCH TO BE ANCHORED IN 8' X 8' TRENCH, BACKFILL, AND COMPACT THE TRENCH AFTER SHAKING.
7. THE TERMINAL END OF THE BLANKETS MUST BE ANCHORED IN 8' X 8' TRENCH, BACKFILL, AND COMPACT THE TRENCH AFTER SHAKING.
- NOTE: NUMBER 1 IS FOR NON-FLOW CHANNEL APPLICATIONS.
8. INSTALL A SINGLE CHECK SLOOT AT 50 TO 60 FOOT INTERVALS. USE A ROWN BAR TO CUT SLOOT. BACKFILL AND COMPACT SLOOT. PLACE A SECOND ROW OF SHAKES OVER FIRST ROW.

NOTE NUMBER 1 IS FOR HIGH FLOW CHANNEL APPLICATIONS.

4	FABRIC LINED SWALE & DIKE	NOT TO SCALE
4	REB E60000-03	





5	FIBER ROLL SEDIMENT BARRIER	NOT TO SCALE
4	RSA E60000-04	




6 DROP INLET SEDIMENT BARRIER



EXHIBIT E



SOUTH ELEVATION
SCALE 1/4" = 1'-0"



EAST ELEVATION
SCALE 1/4" = 1'-0"

Lot 1


RECEIVED
APR 1996
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
PLANNING DEPT.

HOLINGER
PLANNING
ARCHITECTS
10000 WILSON BLVD.
SUITE 100
LOS ANGELES, CA 90024
TEL: (310) 551-1111
FAX: (310) 551-1112



TOLPINCER ASSOCIATES
ARCHITECTS
40017 MAIN STREET
STILLWATER, MN 55076

LOT 1




UPPER FLOOR PLAN
SCALE: 1/4" = 1'-0"
DATE: 8/2/01

ALTERNATE ELEVATIONS


WOLFINGER ASSOCIATES
ARCHITECTS
1000 N. 10TH ST.
SUITE 200
DENVER, CO 80202
PHONE 733-1100
FAX 733-1101
WWW.WOLFINGER-ASSOCIATES.COM

RECEIVED
MAY 2 2008
DENVER, COLORADO
PLANNING DEPT.


LOT 2



WEST ELEVATION
SCALE: 1/4" = 1'-0"




SOUTH ELEVATION
SCALE: 1/4" = 1'-0"



EAST ELEVATION
SCALE: 1/4" = 1'-0"


WOLFINGER ASSOCIATES
 1000 N. 10th St.
 Phoenix, AZ 85006
 QUALITY MADE IN
 PHOENIX, AZ 85006

LOT 2



SITE PLAN
 SCALE: 1" = 20'

LOT B




LOWER FLOOR PLAN
 SCALE: 1/4" = 1'-0"
 FLOOR LEVEL: 100.00
 ELEVATION: 100.00
 TOTAL: 100.00

EXHIBIT J

WOLFINGER ASSOCIATES
 1015 N. W. 10TH ST.
 MIAMI, FL 33136
 (305) 571-1100
 QUALITY PRINTING SERVICES
 11/11/11, LOT 2


Lot 2



UPPER FLOOR PLAN
 SCALE: 1/4" = 1'-0"


WOLFINGER ASSOCIATES
 1111 N. 1st St.
 Suite 100
 St. Petersburg, FL 33705
 (813) 421-1111
 www.wolfiner.com

Lot 3




SITE PLAN
 SCALE: 1" = 20'

LOT C



LOWER FLOOR PLAN
 SCALE: 1/4" = 1'-0"
 (LOWER LEVEL: 121' x 121')
 (UPPER LEVEL: 129' x 113')
 (TOTAL: 121' x 121')

Lot 3



UPPER FLOOR PLAN
 SCALE: 1/4" = 1'-0"

EXHIBIT N

RECEIVED
APR 6 1970
CITY OF BOSTON
PLANNING DEPT.

WOLFINGER ASSOCIATES
ARCHITECTS
100 N. ST.
BOSTON, MASS. 02111
QUALITY PARTIAL COST
REBELL, LAF. 5

Lot 3







EXHIBIT L



RECEIVED
MAY 2 2003
COMMUNITY & DEVELOPMENT DEPT.
PLANNING DIVISION

STREETSCAPE

(DIAGRAMATIC ONLY, NO SCALE)

POLFINCHER ASSOCIATES		SHRINK #
2000 N. 10TH AVE. SUITE 200 DENVER, CO 80202 PHONE: 303.733.1100 FAX: 303.733.1101		2
QUALITY PAPER PRODUCTS PERMANENT PAPER		OF 2

PLANNING COMMISSION

DRAFT MINUTES EXCERPTS



June 21, 2018

1. **ROLL CALL:** Commissioners – Murray, Huether, Kelley, Myers
ABSENT: Painter
STAFF: Community Development – Erin Morris, Mike Allen, Michael Gibbons, Jose Cortez, Patty Baring
City Attorney's Office – Sabrina Wolfson

7. PUBLIC HEARINGS/APPEALS

B. LOS ROBLES DRIVE SUBDIVISION EXTENSION II – 21 LOS ROBLES DRIVE (File No. PL17-0166) Request for a two-year extension to the expiration of a previously approved Use Permit, Design Review Permit, and Tentative Map to subdivide two parcels totaling 5.9 acres into five single-family lots at 21 Los Robles Drive. The project site is located on Los Robles Drive north of Seville Drive; within the Single-Family Residential General Plan Designations (SFR-182, -183); within the Single-Family Residential Zoning District (RS-20); and within the Hillside Overlay District (HS). (APNs 046-180-016, -017, -018)

Commissioners provided disclosures

Associate Planner Mike Allen presented the Staff Report and provided a recommendation.

Commissioners offered the following initial questions and comments for Staff:

- Is this the final extension allowed for the Subdivision Map?
- Would project modifications require the return of the Applicant for Planning Commission review?

Mr. Allen responded to Commissioner questions. He said the project could receive one more two-year extension in accordance with the Subdivision Map Act. The proposed modifications could be handled at a staff level as a minor modification or substantial conformance determination.

Chair Murray opened the item for Public Hearing. After receiving no comments, the Public Hearing was closed.

Commissioners discussed and began deliberation. Commissioners offered the following final questions and comments:

- The Applicant is encouraged to move forward with housing during this extension in the interest of the community.

Commissioners Myers and Huether moved and seconded to forward a recommendation to the City Council to adopt a resolution approving a two-year extension of the Use Permit, Design Review Permit, and Tentative Map for the previously approved Los Robles Subdivision at 21 Los Robles Drive and determining that the action is exempt from the requirements of CEQA.

Motion Carried:

AYES:	Murray, Huether, Kelley, Myers
NOES:	
ABSTAIN:	
ABSENT:	Painter
RECUSED:	