Pump Stations Replacement Project No. WTR2018-0011 Date of Final Aggregate Score: 06/06/2019

		e			
	Dimension	Possible		Mountain	Myers &
		Pos	GSE	Cascade	Sons
Average of all Reviewers	General - Overall quality of the proposal, completeness,				
	responsiveness and readability.	5	4	3	4
	Scope and Schedule - Level of detail and completeness of				
	scope of work, documented understanding of the work to be				
	done, detailed and well thought out schedule, ability to meet				
	the schedule and demonstrated value to the City.	15	12	9	10
	Design Team - Successful experience with similar kinds of				
	work, quality and applicability of references, demonstrated				
	technical ability, firm history, level of experience,	10	9	8	9
	qualifications of design team and organization of team.	10	9	8	9
	Water PS Design & Construction - Experience and success of				
	the design-build team with similar projects and permitting.	10	9	8	8
	Construction Team - Successful experience with similar kinds	10	9		
	of work, quality and applicability of references, demonstrated				
	technical ability, firm history, level of experience,				
	qualifications of subcontractors for specialty work (concrete,				
	electrical, mechanical, etc.), organization of team,				
	demonstrated value to the City based on results of past				
	projects.	10	8	8	8
	F1	- 10			
	Coordination - Demonstrated understanding of site				
	constraints, daily operation of facility and coordination with				
	City Staff, public interaction, and working hour restrictions.	10	8	7	7
	Contract Price (Alt. B)				
	Relative Cost Comparison	20	16	20	19
	Demonstrated success of Design-Build team to deliver				
	projects on budget with limited change orders	20	14	12	13
	Inteview				
	Presentation of the team's experience with potable water				
	pump stations using the design-build delivery method.	30	23	19	28
	Q&A - Ability to respond accurately and completely to				
	specific questions that result from the proposal and/or				
	presentation.	20	17	13	18
	Total	150	118.7	107.3	123.2
	Hillcrest PS Construction		\$ 1,228,922	\$ 1,108,000	\$ 1,100,000
	Hillcrest PS Design		\$ 316,310	\$ 125,420	\$ 275,000
Alt. A Costs	Subtotal			\$ 1,233,420	\$ 1,375,000
	Silverado Highlands PS Construction		\$ 1,223,472		\$ 522,000
	Silverado Highlands PS Design		\$ 316,130	\$ 125,420	\$ 275,000
	Subtotal		\$ 1,539,602	\$ 1,086,163	\$ 797,000
	Total		\$ 3,084,834	\$ 2,319,583	\$ 2,172,000
Alt. B Costs	Lillanest DC Construction		£ 4300.000	£ 4.330.345	£ 4454.555
	Hillcrest PS Construction		\$ 1,366,100		
	Hillcrest PS Design		\$ 310,000		\$ 235,000
	Subtotal		\$ 1,676,100		
	Silverado Highlands PS Construction		\$ 1,371,400		
	Silverado Highlands PS Design		\$ 310,000		
	Subtotal Total		\$ 1,681,400 \$ 3,357,500	\$ 1,245,522	\$ 1,329,000
	lotal		t have impacted the		\$ 2,728,000

<sup>\*</sup>GSE's Cost Proposal Worksheet for Alt. A listed their Total Alternative A as \$3,094,654 this error would not have impacted their overall ranking as the scores were based on Alt. B cost estimates.